Part III of A Plainer Translation offers appendices that are extremely useful to the student who wants to deal with textual variants among various MSS sources and published editions. Used with chapters 6-9 a whole new array of textual studies could come forth, further clarifying the basic nature and purpose of Joseph Smith's "New Translation" of the Bible, and its enduring values for our time.


Reviewed by Jan Shipp, assistant professor of history and religious studies, Indiana University-Purdue University at Indianapolis.

The fresh title notwithstanding, Melville's Conflict and Compromise: The Mormons in Mid-Nineteenth-Century American Politics is not a new work. A sketchy survey of the political events in early territorial Utah has been added, but in substance, this book is a reprint—apparently from the very same plates—of the author's Highlights in Mormon Political History which was originally published in the Brigham Young University Merrill Monograph Series in 1967. I was not aware that this was the case when I agreed to prepare a review for BYU Studies, and this unanticipated duplication places me in a somewhat awkward position since I reviewed the work in its earlier form for Dialogue (3 [Winter 1968]:103-104). Upon discovery of this situation, my first impulse was to suggest that, because the new book is essentially the same as the earlier one, this fact could be noted and the readers directed to the earlier reviews of the work. However, as I reread the account of "The Mormons in the Frontier Politics of Iowa" and the discussion of "The Mormons and the Compromise of 1850" and read the added section on "The Infant Steps of Territorial Government" for the first time, I realized that 1968 assessments of Professor Melville's work will not serve in 1975. For one thing, the world of Mormon history has changed since this monograph was prepared. For another, a virtual revolution in methodology has occurred in the whole general area of political history in the intervening years. The standards by which a work of this nature must
be judged have changed dramatically, and—evaluated with modern criteria in mind—Conflict and Compromise simply fails to measure up as useful scholarship.

The second (and longest) section of the book purports to explain, for example, how and why the U. S. Congress provided the Mormons in the Great Basin with a territorial rather than a state government. Yet the analysis is entirely based on Mormon sources and the public debates recorded in the journals of the U. S. Senate and House of Representatives. The author has made no real effort to place the question in the full context of the complicated national political situation of the time, and has been content, instead, to narrate the story almost precisely as John M. Bernhisel, the Saints' Washington lobbyist, related it to the LDS Church Presidency. Moreover, while Holman Hamilton's Prologue to Conflict was published in 1964, no reference is made to this standard work on the Compromise of 1850. Also, no notice is taken of Thomas B. Alexander's Sectional Stress and Party Strength: A Study of Roll-Call Voting Returns in the United States House of Representatives, 1836-1860 or any of the other works in which congressional roll calls have been "scaled" or "clustered," even though the importance of roll-call analysis in the explanation of the behavior of legislative bodies has been amply demonstrated.

Since the circumstances were less complicated, the fact that the Iowa episode which is described in the first section of the book is likewise presented primarily from the Mormon standpoint does not matter quite so much. Still, this is a twice-told tale which needed telling only once. As for the final section, the one on which the "expanded form" statement in the "Preface" is based, over forty-five percent of it is devoted to maps, reproductions of letters, and other direct quotations. So much emphasis has been placed on supplying material which would give readers "a feel for the original drama involved" (p. vii) that the author's contribution turns out to be little more than a précis of standard historical accounts of Utah in the 1850s.

In the pages of this journal last year Chad Flake complained about the lack of tough reviews of works on Mormonism written by Mormons. He suggested a number of valid reasons for this situation, but he failed to mention that criticizing the work of another student of Mormon history, whether he is a Saint or whether he is not, can be an exceedingly unpleasant task. Nevertheless, someone has to point out when the emperor's clothes are missing. Frankly,
in this instance, I cannot understand why the political science department at a major university would sponsor the reissuing of a work as dated and superfluous as this one.


Reviewed by Thomas G. Alexander, professor of history and associate director of the Charles Redd Center for Western Studies at Brigham Young University.

Our image of nineteenth century Mormonism has been conditioned by impressions of the cooperative movement, the Law of Consecration and Stewardship, and the United Orders. Twentieth century Mormonism has moved away from a communitarian orientation, and Ezra Thompson Clark's life may have been a harbinger of this transition. The antithesis of the average Mormon of nineteenth century lore, Clark was capitalistic, he refused to join the cooperative movement, and on two occasions he found excuses for refusing to accept the call to a colonizing mission which might have inconvenienced his business enterprises.

Born in 1823 in Lawrenceville, Illinois, Ezra Clark moved to a number of cities in Illinois before joining the Mormon Church and settling with the Saints in Missouri. After being driven from Missouri, the family moved to Illinois and then to Utah, where Clark established himself in Farmington.

Thereafter, Clark's life was filled with service to himself, his family, and the Church. In addition to developing his own farms and businesses, Clark filled five preaching missions. With the exception of the Bear Lake colony, however, his efforts in colonizing missions consisted of providing transportation and supplies for others and securing someone else to go in his place. In the case of Bear Lake, he established a separate home which served as summer pasture for his cattle, while maintaining his permanent residence in Farmington.

His devotion to the Church as he understood it is unquestioned. He spent a term in prison for practicing polygamy, served as a member of the Davis Stake High Council, and officiated as a patriarch. His love for his family was genuine, deep, and reciprocated.