words in the past have been the turning point of major debates on succession; it would have been wise to clarify the situation.

But the faults are all minor. The book is a major and long-overdue contribution to the step-by-step detailment of this important subject. Due to its appearance shortly after the succession of President Joseph Fielding Smith to the Presidency, some Church members have been prone to dismiss it as a quickly-prepared volume to capitalize on a cresting wave of interest in things successional. Far from that, it is the product of several years' careful and responsible study and should deservedly become the touchstone for discussions of the topic. Indeed, the incontestable fact that this very fundamental doctrine has been progressively refined to its present state has implications that go far beyond succession; it applies with equal force to concepts of prophetic knowledge, revelation, and essentially the whole of our understanding of the gospel. That virtually all our gospel doctrines are best elucidated under this same developmental truth is a point that has not been appreciated by either Church members at large or many of our authors and commentators. It is to be hoped that Durham and Heath will contribute to the recognition of that concept as well as to an accurate understanding of succession. The book is highly recommended.

ALAN GERALD CHERRY. It's You and Me, Lord! Provo, Utah: Trilogy Arts, 1970. 64 pp. $2.95.


(Reviewed by Reed N. Wilcox, a Junior majoring in economics and international relations at Brigham Young University. A National Merit Scholar and a Hinckley Scholar, Mr. Wilcox is presently serving as ASBYU Vice-president of Academics and as member of the BYU special committee on race relations.)

Most of his black brothers would call him an Uncle Tom. Many of his white brothers at BYU have showered him with
almost apologetic kindness and attention in an effort to some-
how demonstrate to everyone—and themselves—that they are
not racists, that they really like Al Cherry, black Al Cherry.

Having found himself a center of attention among other
Mormon students, it is not surprising that Cherry should pub-
ish something like It's You and Me, Lord! The subtitle, "My
Experience as a Black Mormon," will obviously make the book
sell; it made the reviewer apprehensive of a focus on popular
controversy rather than upon substance. But apprehension of
mere controversial content are quickly stilled—even lulled to
sleep—as the first two-thirds of the already short book pass
quickly without any mention of the LDS Church. But with two
strikes against him Cherry finally delivers something really
meaningful. In a casual, friendly rendering of his feelings, he
somehow manages to avoid the defensive sort of logical argu-
mentation that tends to plague pro-Mormon publications on
this subject. Rather than quoting stories of the pre-existence or
dubious conclusions from questionable survey data, Cherry
simply explains that for him, "the important thing in God's
Kingdom will not be who leads us there, but simply who gets
there." At the same time he delivers strong and well-deserved
criticism of complacent Priesthood holders and of apologetic
Mormons who have told him they would not have joined the
Church had they been black.

Hopefully Cherry's book will signal some kind of change
from the traditional "defense argument" approach by Mor-
mons to this subject. Unfortunately, but almost inevitably, Lat-
ter-day Saints had to await a Black to begin to make this
change.

It is ironic that while a black convert student was pub-
lishing It's You and Me, Lord! another student, a returned
missionary who also sincerely "cared for the people of the
Church and was committed to the Church's humanitarian and
moral ideals," was publishing Mormonism's Negro Policy:
Social and Historical Origins. Stephen Taggart's conclusion,
quite different from Cherry's, is that "the weight of the evi-
dence suggests that God didn't curse the black man—his white
children did." Taggart's well-written and very readable little
book carefully traces the development of the Mormon Negro
policy, concluding that the policy stems from conciliatory ef-
forts made by Joseph Smith and others in response to social
stress created by the Church’s location in the 1830s in proslavery Missouri. Taggart’s facts are generally well documented, although one key document, an “Extra” edition of *The Evening and Morning Star* which was printed in July of 1833, is summarized by Taggart rather than quoted directly, and is never footnoted. Many of his statements are carefully qualified in an effort to preserve historical accuracy, but most of the pivotal conclusions, and often the basic assumptions with which he examines many of the documented statements, are questionable at best. A policy statement printed in the revised edition of the *Doctrine and Covenants* in 1835, which cautioned against disobedience or disrespect for the law—which at the time legitimized slavery—is interpreted by Taggart as having been a formal credential of the Church’s “proslavery colors.” His interpretations of the motives of Joseph Smith and others are usually couched in such phrases as “probably intended” or “apparently intended.”

Taggart’s documented evidence, in itself, positively relates the policy’s first definition to the Missouri period, but establishes little beyond that. That the policy’s definition was related to that period is only logical—social situations usually act to necessitate policy definition—but no evidence presented by Taggart substantiates his thesis that social stress determined the policy’s content. The real core of his case is Taggart’s own unsubstantiated conclusions—such as Joseph Smith’s having conveniently added allusions to Priesthood denial to the first chapter of Abraham in the *Pearl of Great Price* in an effort to manufacture a theological justification for his Negro policy, which up to that point had rested only on reheated Southern Fundamentalist dogma. What Taggart presents, finally, is a new—and very good—adaptation of the old argument that Joseph Smith was a very good and resourceful man, that the Church is probably the “best,” but that at least some of what is claimed to be revealed from God is of ordinary human origin.

Hopefully, before or after reading Taggart’s interpretation of the revelatory significance of the policy, the reader will consider the official statement of the First Presidency reported in the February, 1970, *Priesthood Bulletin*, which restates “the position of the Church with regard to the Negro both in society and in the Church.”