harmonious celestial marriage can be found outside the spirit and the fact of the atonement of Christ.” In the spirit of the writer, one is dealing with the leaves instead of getting down to the roots if he leaves out the atonement of Christ and its implications for good human relations. He is giving his life the aspirin treatment instead of working on basic causes. “We draw from His suffering and His love,” Covey goes on to say, “inward security and willingness to accept the risks of understanding and loving freely, non-defensively, and without pre-judging or asking for something in return.”

And so the author fills the pages with spiritual root after spiritual root, reminding us again and again of the heart stuff which we must do something about, “for out of it are the issues of life.”

It will be difficult for anyone—the parent, teacher, missionary or administrator—who reads this book with real intent, not to be moved to some kind of spiritual aerobics.


(Reviewed by Robert J. Matthews, Director of Academic Research for the Department of Seminaries and Institutes of Religion. A specialist in the Bible and modern literature, Dr. Matthews is author of A Look at the Inspired Translation (1963), An Appreciation of Isaiah (1965), Joseph Smith’s Inspired Revision (1968), and Miracles of Jesus (1968), as well as the compiler of Index and Concordance to the Teachings of the Prophet Joseph Smith (1962), and Who’s Who in the Book of Mormon (1965); and has written numerous articles.)

The purpose of this latest publication of the “New Translation” of the Bible is stated in the Foreword as an attempt to give “ready access to the total specialized treatment of the Bible prepared by Joseph Smith, Jr. in the 1830’s and the 40’s.” It purports to “compare in totality the differences which accumulated in this New Translation which evolved as the Prophet sought enlightenment which he and other associates paged through their King James Bible.”

This is a worthy task and a much needed publication, and the Herald Publishing House is to be commended for attempt-
ing to bring together all of the textual changes which were ef-
ected by the Prophet Joseph Smith in his work with the Bible. Placing these in parallel columns with the King James Version makes the textual variants readily accessible to all who wish to become acquainted with the "New Translation," or as it is commonly called, the "Inspired Version of the Holy Scriptures." Anyone who has tried to search out the textual variants for himself will appreciate the immensity of such an undertaking.

The introduction, consisting of three articles by F. Henry Edwards, and which were originally published in the Saints Herald in 1967, adds to the value of the publication. Mr. Edward's articles are enlightening and interesting and present the kind of informational background that could only be obtained by an examination of the original documents from which the printed editions of the Inspired Version of the Bible were prepared.

However, as is often the case in the first editions of publications of a highly technical nature, there are some significant shortcomings and weaknesses which have no doubt occurred unintentionally and seem to be the result of insufficient investigation and/or carelessness in the preparation of this book. The most noticeable deficiencies are as follows:

(1) There are numerous passages that were revised by the Prophet Joseph, which are in the regular printed editions of the Inspired Version, but which have not found their way into this comparison. This apparent oversight by the publishers should be remedied in future printings, but there are so many omissions that it might require a completely new setting of the type. Perhaps the passages could be added in a supplementary section and placed in the back of the book. A partial list of missing passages includes, Exodus 3:3; Psalms 19:13; 27:3; 37:38; 105:42; Isaiah 2:2; 2 Corinthians 1:17; 5:14; 5:16; 5:19; Galatians 3:29; Ephesians 2:11; 1 Thessalonians 2:16; 1 Timothy 3:8; 2 Timothy 4:1 and 1 Peter 5:13. Also, Hebrews 5:7-8 does not contain a textual revision, but should be accompanied by an important explanatory footnote. The footnote is missing in this publication. All of the foregoing reference citations (which is not a complete list) are from the printed editions of the Inspired Version.

(2) Some variant readings that are given are not actually revisions, but are due to different editions of the King James
Version being used rather than to deliberate revision by the Prophet Joseph. The Prophet used an edition of the King James Version printed in Cooperstown, New York in 1828, and it should be observed that editions of King James Version printed that long ago often differ in use of articles and pronouns and the spelling of some words from editions of the King James Version printed today. It is important to note also that editions of the King James Version printed in Great Britain differ in spelling and use of articles and pronouns from printings of the King James Version printed in the United States.

Thus, when the Inspired Version reads: "... a hundred and fourscore (2 Kings 19:25), and the King James Version reads "an hundred and fourscore," and the difference in the text is only the indefinite article, this is not a deliberate verse revision by Joseph Smith. This reviewer has examined the King James Bible used by Joseph Smith, and knows this to be a fact. Since there are a great many comparisons of this nature in the book, and no explanation is given concerning it, it creates a tendency to lead the reader to the unwarranted conclusion that these passages were the work of the Prophet.

(3) The manuscript prepared by Joseph Smith and his scribes includes no changes whatsoever for the books of Ruth or Ecclesiastes, yet this publication lists some minor variants in these books involving indefinite and definite articles, and also minor spelling variations such as "veil" and "vail" (Ruth 3:15), "further" and "farther" (Ecc. 8:17). There are many such variants, but again, these are due to the edition of the King James Version used in the comparison and not due to the work of Joseph Smith. This situation should probably be explained in the Foreword of future editions. Actually, the book of Ecclesiastes is not even mentioned in the manuscripts prepared by the Prophet and his scribes.

(4) The book also presents for comparison those passages having a verse number that differs from the King James Version, even if there is no textual difference. This is misleading since the detailed versification of the Inspired Version is not the work of the Prophet, but rather of the RLDS publication committees of 1866-1867. This fact perhaps should be explained in the Foreword of future editions.
(5) The format is somewhat awkward. It is customary in parallel columns to place the King James Version on the left and the Inspired Version on the right. In this instance the order is reversed. Although this is only a matter of taste, it is at first a little disconcerting.

It is not intended that anything in this review should lessen the importance of the Inspired Version or discredit the goals and desires of those who have prepared this valuable new publication. It is simply an observation that in some respects the book falls short of being a "comparison in totality of the differences" that were effected by the Prophet Joseph, while on the other hand in some instances the book tends to go beyond what the Prophet actually did. Future editions could benefit from a more thorough explanation in the Foreword, and also a supplement of the missing passages.

One further observation. In Mr. Edwards' second installment (page 14) he raises some questions concerning the date, content and comprehensiveness of the Bernhisel copy of the inspired version manuscript, (which is in possession of the LDS Church Historian in Salt Lake City) and states that "we do not know" the facts concerning it. The interested reader will be pleased to know that the entire text of the Bernhisel Manuscript, accompanied by an extensive description, including dates, is on file in the RLDS Historian's Library in the Auditorium in Independence.\(^1\) This was not available at the time Mr. Edwards first prepared his paper in 1967.


(The reviewer, Thomas G. Alexander, is associate professor of history at Brigham Young University. He is currently on leave to assist in editing the papers of Ulysses S. Grant under a fellowship from the National Historical Publications Commission.)

About a year and a half ago, Ray Taylor and this reviewer rode together on an excursion sponsored by the Utah Valley