The Foreordination of Abraham

One of the most important doctrinal teachings in the Book of Abraham is that of the premortal existence of humankind and the foreordination of many “noble and great ones” to be God’s “rulers” (Abr. 3:22–28). Abraham himself was singled out as one who was divinely preordained to a great mission. “Now the Lord had shown unto me, Abraham, the intelligences that were organized before the world was; and among all these there were many of the noble and great ones; and God saw these souls that they were good, and he stood in the midst of them, and he said: These I will make my rulers; for he stood among those that were spirits, and he saw that they were good; and he said unto me: Abraham, thou art one of them; thou wast chosen before thou wast born” (vv. 22–23).

1. The text of the Book of Abraham does not seem to specify how or in what capacity these “noble and great” souls in the premortal council were to be “rulers.” Some Latter-day Saints have interpreted this to be referring to those God chose and preordained to be spiritual and secular leaders on earth (for example, Seymour B. Young, in Seventy-fourth Semi-annual Conference of The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints [Salt Lake City: Deseret News, 1903], 60.) Others have understood these “rulers” to be humans on earth who exhibit exemplary attributes that make them outstanding among humanity (for example, Orson F. Whitney, “The Fall and the Redemption,” Improvement Era 24, no. 5 [March 1921]: 375). Others interpret the passage to be referring to the gods in the divine council (for example, Blake Ostler, Exploring Mormon Thought: Of God and Gods [Salt Lake City: Greg Kofford Books, 2008], 26–29). Another doctrinal interpretation or application of these verses might be that these “rulers” are those who become “kings and priests unto God” through the process of exaltation (Rev. 1:6; 5:10). As Joseph Smith taught in his April 7, 1844, discourse known today as the King Follett Discourse, “You have got to learn how to be a god yourself in order to save you[r]self—to be priests & Kings as all Gods has done—by going from a small degree to another from exaltation to ex[altation]—till they are able to sit in glory as doth those who sit enthroned.” “Discourse, 7 April 1844, as Reported by William Clayton,” 14, Joseph Smith Papers, accessed
Since the Book of Abraham so clearly teaches the idea of a premortal existence and the divine foreordination of rulers, the question might reasonably be asked whether these teachings find a plausible context in the ancient Near East. In fact, scholars recognize that Near Eastern peoples believed in the divine foreordination of their kings (and in the case of the ancient Israelites, some of their prophets). As one scholar put it, “Divine election—the academic designation for the choosing of people by deity for position and opportunity in mortal life—is a claim that is well attested in ancient Near Eastern texts, including the Hebrew Bible.”

For example, perhaps the best-known biblical passage that speaks of the divine foreordination and election of a prophet appears in the opening chapter of the book of Jeremiah. “Before I formed thee in the belly I knew thee; and before thou camest forth out of the womb I sanctified thee, and I ordained thee a prophet unto the nations” (Jer. 1:5). Outside of the Bible, in a prologue to his famous collection of laws, the ancient Babylonian king Hammurabi (ca. 1810–1750 BC) depicted himself as being foreordained by the gods to rule:

When the august God Anu . . . and the god Enlil, lord of heaven and earth, who determines the destinies of the land, allotted supreme power over all the peoples to the god Marduk[,] . . . [a]t that time, the gods Anu and Enlil, for the enhancement of the well-being of the people, named me by my name: Hammurabi, the pious prince, who venerates the gods, to make justice prevail in the land, to abolish the wicked and the evil, to prevent the strong from oppressing the weak, to rise like the sun-god Shamash over all mankind, to illuminate the land.

The ancient Egyptians of Abraham’s day likewise believed their kings were divinely pre-elected to be rulers. One Egyptian text from

---


Abraham’s time says of the pharaoh Senwosret I (ca. 1950–1900 BC): “Men and women surpass exultation in him, now that he is king. He took possession [of kingship] in the egg; his face was toward it from before he was born. Those born with him are multiple, but he is a unique one of the god’s giving.” Additional texts from Abraham’s lifetime and many centuries afterward point to this concept being both prevalent and long-lasting in Egyptian thought.

Some ancient Egyptian monarchs even went so far as to claim that they were literal divine offspring. At her mortuary temple at Deir el-Bahri, for example, the queen Hatshepsut, who reigned circa 1473–1458 BC, commissioned a series of reliefs depicting herself as the literal daughter of the god Amun-Re who could, accordingly, claim a divine birthright to rule Egypt. The reliefs begin with a depiction of what Egyptologists call a “council of the gods,” where, in the midst of other important deities, Amun-Re foretells Hatshepsut’s reign, followed by scenes of her divine conception, birth, and ascendency to the throne.

Abraham appears to have not held any kingly titles in mortality yet was designated a “noble and great one” who was foreordained to be a “ruler” (Abr. 3:22–23). This must certainly have been true at least in a priesthood authority sense, and unlike the counterfeit priesthood authority of pharaoh (Abr. 1:25–28), Abraham’s foreordination to the priesthood was legitimate and ratified through a covenant with God (Abr. 2:6–11). Thus,


by drawing attention to his foreordained status, Abraham may have been demonstrating how the power and divine authority usually associated with earthly kings was more legitimately and eternally endowed upon God’s preordained earthly servants. The Book of Abraham’s teachings about foreordination and divine election are therefore important for the eternal truths they preserve and how they ground the text in a plausible ancient context.
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